

Grumman Corporation

CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

GRUMMAN

ASST NOMENCLATURE: MANIPULATOR FOOT RESTRAINT

PREPARED BY: L. HAIN & F. PERAZZO

REPORT NO. RMS 67 R 8

ASSEMBLY PART NO: 8ED 204080

REVISION: C

DATE: 3 MARCH 1969

FMEA REF	REV	NAME, QTY & DRAWING REF DESIGNATION	CRIT	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
H6		Payload Interface Mechanism (PIM) QTY (1) Dwg C55-105	1R/2	H6 - Inadvertent release of pyramid latch due to structural failure of latch or latch spring resulting from defective material	<p>END ITEM PIM is inadvertently released from MFR</p> <p>GFE INTERFACE Payload is not secured to MFR</p> <p>MISSION Possible loss of mission due to damaged payload.</p> <p>CREW/VEHICLE Payload is not restrained, possible impact with crew/vehicle</p>	<p>A. Design Redundancy- Latch and latch lock must fail prior to release of payload. "B" screen is not applicable, latch is a mechanical linkage.</p> <p>In addition to considering the launch loads discussed under cases A1 and B1, the MFR has been designed to accommodate the following conditions in the deployed configurations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Astronaut handling loads of one hundred pounds in any direction. - Inertial response loads of MFR to RMS runaway accelerations (2.6 ft/sec/sec linear accel x, y, or z axes and 0.5 rad/sec/sec Roll accel about x axes) - RMS constrained motion load of 300 pounds ultimate, any point, any direction. - 140 pound couple by each foot to footplate assembly - 343 pound load applied to any tether/reel assembly. - The design minimizes orbital EVA thermal stresses by utilizing aluminum as the one basic structural material, coated with a low absorption thermal control coating per Grumman spec CSS-MFR-PS-001 <p>Using the above load spectrum design safety margins of 1.14 for deformation and 1.40 for failure have been achieved. All springs are corrosion resistant and will be cycled a small fraction of nominal cyclic life in the 20 mission life of the MFR. Fatigue life based upon random response loads with appropriate stress concentration factors has been established using a scatter factor of 4.0 (e.g., 80 mission fatigue life based upon S-N curves). All materials are per table 1 and 2 of MSFC-SPEC-522A, to reduce stress corrosion, and are certified for traceability/quality.</p>

CONFIDENTIAL
 PREPARED BY
 100-100000-0000

Grumman Corporation

CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

GRUMMAN

ASSY NOMENCLATURE: MANIPULATOR FOOT RESTRAINT

PREPARED BY: L. MAHN & F. PERAZZO

REPORT NO: 9815 OF R 8

REVISION: C

ASSEMBLY PART NO: SED 3340000

DATE: 2 MARCH 1988

FA/EA REF REV	NAME, QTY & DRAWING REF DESIGNATION	CRIT	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
H6	Payload Interface Mechanism (PIM) QTY (1) Dwg C95-105	1RU2	H6 - Inadvertent release of pyramid latch due to structural failure of latch or latch spring resulting from defective material	END ITEM PIM is inadvertently released from MFR GEE INTERFACE Payload is not secured to MFR MISSION Possible loss of mission due to damaged payload. CREW/VEHICLE Payload is not restrained, possible impact with crewman/vehicle	B. TEST HISTORY 1. Acceptance test per procedure 380 94.01 at Grumman (7/7/83) before and after all tests. ATF includes functional tests of all operating functions and a general visual inspection. 2. Stiffness test per procedure 380-101.01 at Grumman (7/7/83). Demonstrated static end play less than 5 inch for a line pinned load in any direction and deflection less than 3 inches lateral and 2 inches longitudinal for 1 hundred pound loads. 3. Vibration and shock test per procedure 380 98.01 at Grumman (7/7/83). Demonstrated ability to withstand design levels without structural failure with no significant resonance. Several screws required the application of lockie. 4. APC/MFR ultimate load tests per STS 83-0944 at Rockwell (9/83). Loads applied in 16 steps, each comprising 10% of total load no yield was observed at the ultimate load of 1.4 x final. 5. Thermal vacuum test at JSC (7/23/84). MFR was operated at ambient temperature, plus 224 F and -137 F (average lowest achievable chamber temp) at an average vacuum of 00006 torr. 6. Center of gravity test at JSC (11/2/84). 7. Moment of inertia swing test at JSC (1/4/85). C. INSPECTION 1. MAUPRO inspects all production end items at completion of final assembly. 2. Anodic hard coated aluminum parts inspected for compliance to MIL-A-8625 C by DCAS. Certificate of compliance on file at Grumman Bethpage. 3. Thermal Control Coating process is controlled by inspections, (post parse, cure, post coating and cure), and sample testing for coating thickness, coating adhesion, and emissance/absorpt. D. FAILURE HISTORY None (per PRACA database) The MFR has been successfully utilized on live missions, STS 31, 33, 51A, 51L, and 61C. E. TURNAROUND Inspection per 578/MA 05001 N/C 10 DEC 1987 includes a functional test of all MFR operating functions and a general visual inspection. F. OPERATIONAL USE 1. Operational Effect of Failure: Possible damage to payload and orbiter. 2. Crew Action: none. 3. Crew Training: none. 4. Mission Consequences: none. 5. Flight Checklist: Operation of Pyramid Latch will be checked out at line of use.

MFR - 34

10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50

77